IFa



PATENT Attorney Docket No. 8411.0050

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n re Application of:)
_AN WANG) Group Art Unit: 2166
Application No.: 10/586,674)) Examiner: J. Wong
Filed: July 20, 2006) Confirmation No.: 8064
For: CLASSIFICATION DICTIONARY UPDATING APPARATUS, COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT THEREFOR AND METHOD OF UPDATING CLASSIFICATION DICTIONARY)))))

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97(c), Applicant brings to the attention of the Examiner the documents on the attached listing. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed after the events recited in Section 1.97(b) but, to the undersigned's knowledge, before the mailing date of either a Final action, Quayle action, or a Notice of Allowance. Under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c), this Information Disclosure Statement includes a statement as specified by Section 1.97(e).

The following constitutes the statement specified by 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e). Each document listed in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a Notice of Rejection issued by the Japanese Patent Office on August 4, 2009, in a counterpart Japanese application, and this Information Disclosure Statement is being filed within three months of the mailing date of the Notice.

Copies of the listed foreign and non-patent literature documents are attached.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider the listed documents and indicate that they were considered by making appropriate notations on the attached form.

In lieu of a statement of relevance or translation of the listed non-English documents, Applicant provides the Notice of Rejection citing these documents and setting forth the relevance thereof. A partial English translation of the Notice is attached. In addition, Applicant notes that the listed Japanese article provides an English-language Abstract.

This submission does not represent that a search has been made or that no better art exists and does not constitute an admission that each or all of the listed documents are material or constitute "prior art." If the Examiner applies any of the documents as prior art against any claims in the application and Applicant determines that the cited documents do not constitute "prior art" under United States law, Applicant reserves the right to present to the office the relevant facts and law regarding the appropriate status of such documents.

Applicant further reserves the right to take appropriate action to establish the patentability of the disclosed invention over the listed documents, should one or more of the documents be applied against the claims of the present application.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Statement, please charge the fee to Deposit Account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated:

Bv:

3y:___

V. Burguj

Reg. No. 31,744